



1FW

**IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re U.S. Patent Application of:  
**APPLICANTS:** Nielsen  
**SERIAL NO.:** 10/551,886  
**FILING DATE:** 10/4/05  
**EXAMINER:** Stephen, Emem O.  
**ART UNIT:** 2617  
**DOCKET NO.:** 886A.0014.U1(US)  
**TITLE:** Reminder Handling

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS**  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Sir:

Applicant thanks Examiner Stephen for the courtesies extended to Applicant's attorneys during the telephone interview of March 20, 2009.

As required by the Examiner, set forth below is a Substance of the Interview summary regarding the afore-referenced discussion.

It is initially noted, per MPEP 713.04, that during the afore-referenced discussion no exhibit was presented and no demonstration was conducted. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims, as further detailed below.

During this discussion, the outstanding non-final Office Action dated March 11, 2009 and the reference cited therein (US Patent Publication 2001/0029194A1 to Ketola et al., hereinafter "Ketola") were discussed. This Office Action includes one rejection of all of the claims based on 35 USC Section 102(b) in view of Ketola. Claims discussed included independent claim 1.

The specification also was discussed, and the Examiner requested additional time for further review of the specification. Applicant thanks the Examiner for her thorough consideration of this matter.

Accordingly, a subsequent telephone interview was scheduled for April 3, 2009.

The foregoing Summary is believed to fully comply with the requirements for the Substance of the Interview. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney should any further information be required.

It is further respectfully asserted that the filing of this Substance of Interview is within the period set forth for reply (one month from the date of the Interview Summary dated March 25, 2009) and thus no petition for extension of time or petition fee is believed to be due. However, should the undersigned attorney be mistaken, please consider this as a petition for any extension of time necessary to effect this reply and charge Deposit Account No. 50-1924 for any required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Wilkes Beninati 4-27-09  
Christine Wilkes Beninati Date  
Reg. No.: 37,967

Customer No.: 29683  
HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC  
4 Research Drive  
Shelton, CT 06484-6212  
Telephone: (203) 925-9400  
Facsimile: (203) 944-0245

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

4.27.2009

Jessica Dier  
Name of Person Making Deposit



IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Patent Application of:

APPLICANTS: Nielsen  
SERIAL NO.: 10/551,886  
FILING DATE: 10/4/05  
EXAMINER: Stephen, Emem O.  
ART UNIT: 2617  
DOCKET NO.: 886A.0014.U1(US)  
TITLE: Reminder Handling

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Sir:

Applicant thanks Examiner Stephen for the courtesies extended to Applicant's attorneys during the second telephone interview of April 3, 2009.

As required by the Examiner, set forth below is a Substance of the Interview summary regarding the afore-referenced discussion.

It is initially noted, per MPEP 713.04, that during the afore-referenced discussion no exhibit was presented and no demonstration was conducted. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims, as further detailed below.

During this discussion, the outstanding non-final Office Action dated March 11, 2009 and the reference cited therein (US Patent Publication 2001/0029194A1 to Ketola et al., hereinafter "Ketola") were again discussed. This Office Action includes one rejection of all of the claims based on 35 USC Section 102(b) in view of Ketola. Claims discussed included independent claim 1, as well as independent claims 10 and 15.

The specification also was again discussed, and the Examiner indicated that she had reviewed the specification including the examples section set forth therein.

The Applicant will consider clarification of the claims in responding to the outstanding non-final Office Action.

The foregoing Summary is believed to fully comply with the requirements for the Substance of the Interview. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney should any further information be required.

It is further respectfully asserted that the filing of this Substance of Interview is within the period set forth for reply (one month from the date of the Examiner's Interview Summary dated April 6, 2009) and thus no petition for extension of time or petition fee is believed to be due. However, should the undersigned attorney be mistaken, please consider this as a petition for any extension of time necessary to effect this reply and charge Deposit Account No. 50-1924 for any required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Wilkes Beninati 4-27-09  
Christine Wilkes Beninati Date  
Reg. No.: 37,967

Customer No.: 29683  
HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC  
4 Research Drive  
Shelton, CT 06484-6212  
Telephone: (203) 925-9400  
Facsimile: (203) 944-0245

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

4-27-2009  
Date

Jessica Vee  
Name of Person Making Deposit